

az1928

Arizona Cooperative Alfalfa Forage Yield Trials (1993-2020)

Michael J. Ottman and Steven E. Smith

Excel File

The Arizona Cooperative Alfalfa Forage Yield Trials (1993-2020) results have been placed in an Excel workbook and can be accessed online <u>here</u>. This Excel workbook contains a worksheet that describes the trials, a worksheet that summarizes all trials, and worksheets for each trial with individual harvests.

Background

The Arizona Cooperative Alfalfa Forage Yield Trial Program, administered by the Arizona Agricultural Experiment Station and Arizona Cooperative Extension, conducted alfalfa forage yield trials at the University of Arizona's Agriculture Centers in Maricopa and Tucson. The Maricopa location is at 1188 ft elevation and has a sandy loam soil. The Tucson location is at 2352 ft elevation and has a very fine sandy loam soil. Very non-dormant cultivars are well-adapted to this environment, which is typical of agricultural areas of the low elevation deserts of Arizona where 8 to 10 harvests of alfalfa are common each year and stands typically remain productive for 2 to 4 years. All fields were laser-leveled and alfalfa was irrigated using the border-strip methods.

Protocol

Alfalfa varieties and experimental lines were solicited from and provided by private seed companies and public varieties (CUF 101 and Lew) were included as checks. We usually planted in October, but there were exceptions (Table 1). The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications and an average of 24 entries, but some studies had as few as 11 entries and others as many as 46 entries (Table 1). The seed was sown into five rows spaced 6 inches apart with a single row hand planter at a rate of 20 pounds of seed per acre (7.5 g/plot). The plots were 3 ft wide by 12 ft long. Irrigation water was usually applied the same day as planting or shortly thereafter to germinate the seed. Irrigations of about 4 to 6 inches each were applied at an interval of twice per cutting. The plots were cut with a sickle-bar mower with a 40-inch cutting bar, the forage was raked, placed on a tarp, and weighed with a hanging scale suspended on a tripod. The cutting interval at the peak of the season was 4 weeks. The plots were not subjected to insect pressure severe enough to warrant chemical control. No herbicides or fertilizers were applied. Final plant density (stand) was estimated at the end of the season by counting crowns from two 3 ft² areas in each plot after the last harvest. The trials were conducted for two harvest years in most cases and sometimes a few harvests were obtained in the third harvest year.

Data analysis

The yield estimate from the studies through 2002 were calculated from the arithmetic mean and the least significant difference (LSD) was calculated using the experimental error using a fixed effect model for block. For the studies conducted after 2002, a mixed-model analysis was used with block as a random effect with nearestneighbor yields as a covariate (see Casler, M.D. 1999. Spatial variation affects precision of perennial cool-season forage grass trials. Agron. J. 91:75-81). Least-squares means from the mixed-model analysis are used as the estimate of vield instead of arithmetic means as used for years before 2002. The estimate for the residual is used to generate the least significant difference value reported. The total yield reported in all studies is not necessarily the sum of the individual cutting yields due to rounding errors, or in the case of nearest neighbor analysis, differences in leastsquares means estimates.

Yield

The yield is expressed in units of tons hay/acre adjusted to 12% moisture and was calculated assuming the fresh forage had a moisture content of 80%. These trials did not receive any traffic from hay making equipment since the plots were cut with a small mower and forage removed from the plots by hand. Due to the lack of hay equipment on the plots, we were able to avoid soil moisture drydown and crop moisture stress normally required to avoid soil compaction from harvest traffic. Therefore, the yields reported are higher than what might be expected in commercial practice.

Toble 1	Departmention of trials	for the Arizone Coa	norativa Alfalfa Earaac	e Yield Trials (1993-2020).
I able 1.	Description of thats	IOI THE ALIZOHA COO	ueralive Allalla Fulaut	

Maricopa 1993-95	22	18-Feb-93	7-Jul-93	27-Jun-95	17
Maricopa 1995-97	27	15-Feb-95	25-Jul-95	20-May-97	19
Maricopa 1997-99	29	11-Oct-96	4-Mar-97	20-May-99	15
Maricopa 1999-00	46	29-Oct-98	15-Apr-99	30-Nov-00	15
Tucson 2001-03	25	19-Oct-00	21-Mar-01	2-Jul-03	20
Tucson 2003-04	19	10-Oct-02	22-May-03	11-Nov-04	15
Tucson 2005-06	12	5-Nov-04	25-Apr-05	20-Nov-06	15
Tucson 2007-08	20	19-Oct-06	17-May-07	16-Dec-08	15
Tucson 2009-10	23	2-Oct-08	10-Apr-09	16-Dec-10	15
Tucson 2011-12	26	28-Oct-10	16-Jun-11	6-Dec-12	14
Tucson 2013-14	24	12-Mar-13	15-Jul-13	11-Dec-14	14
Tucson 2015-16	30	16-Oct-14	12-May-15	15-Sep-16	14
Tucson 2017-18	15	13-Oct-16	30-Mar-17	13-Dec-18	17
Tucson 2019-20	11	1-Nov-18	5-Apr-19	16-Dec-20	17



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA Cooperative Extension

AUTHORS MICHAEL J. OTTMAN Agronomy Specialist

STEVEN E. SMITH Associate Professor

CONTACT MICHAEL J. OTTMAN mottman@cals.arizona.edu

This information has been reviewed by University faculty. extension.arizona.edu/pubs/az1928-2021.pdf

Other titles from Arizona Cooperative Extension can be found at: extension.arizona.edu/pubs

Any products, services or organizations that are mentioned, shown or indirectly implied in this publication do not imply endorsement by The University of Arizona. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Dr. Edward C. Martin, Associate Dean & Director, Extension & Economic Development, Division of Agriculture, Life and Veterinary Sciences, and Cooperative Extension, The University of Arizona. The University of Arizona is an equal opportunity, affirmative action institution. The University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, veteran status, sexual orientation, gender identity, or genetic information in its programs and activities.